

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/626,593	,	07/27/2000	Andre Beaudin	13587.9	9925
22913	7590	08/18/2004	EXAMINER		INER
	N NYE	DEGGER (F/K/A	LUGO, DAVID B		
SEELEY) 60 EAST SOUTH TEMPLE 1000 EAGLE GATE TOWER SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84111				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2637	
				DATE MAILED: 08/18/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/626,593	BEAUDIN ET AL.					
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit					
	David B. Lugo	2637					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 06 July 2004 FAILS TO PLACE TO Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of App Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this applic (1) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper reply to a children ch					
PERIOD FOR R	EPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Average event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAY 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The chave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the shortened by above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three maining the period of extensions of the shortened by the Office later than three maining the period of extensions.	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of SFILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.00 ension and the corresponding amount of the ed statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 136(a) and the appropriate extension fee efee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in					
earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellan 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 C							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	because:						
(a) $\boxtimes$ they raise new issues that would require furt	her consideration and/or search (	(see NOTE below);					
(b) $\square$ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	e below);						
<ul><li>(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	n in better form for appeal by mat	terially reducing or simplifying the					
(d) $\square$ they present additional claims without cancel	eling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.					
NOTE: Claims 1 and 10 have been amended to	o include limitations not previously co	onsidered.					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje	ection(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	ld be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed amendment					
5. ☑ The a) ☑ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request to application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does NOT place the					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered b raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendme explanation of how the new or amended claims							
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	s:						
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to: <u>8,9,14 and 15</u> .		·					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-7,10,13 and 16</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) ap	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.					
Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)							
10. Other:	K PRIMA	MAITRAN CHAITRAN CHY EXAMINER 0/12/01					

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 009/626,593

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: it was untimely filed. The affidavit was filed afte final rejection, and good and sufficient reasons why it was not earlier presented have not been shown. See MPEP 715.09.